

Document number: N3623
Date: 2013-05-03
Reply to: Kyle Kloepper (Kyle.Kloepper@riverbed.com)

Minutes

PL22.16 Meeting No. 60
15-20 April 2013 – Bristol, UK

1 Opening activities

Steve Clamage calls the meeting to order Monday 15 April 2013 at 9:19 a.m. BST.

1.1 Opening comments, welcome from host

Roger Orr welcomes everyone to sunny Bristol.

1.2 Introductions

Everyone in the room introduces themselves.

1.3 Meeting guidelines (Patent and Anti-Trust)

Clamage directs group to the following websites without further comment:

- http://www.incits.org/pat_slides.pdf
- <http://www.incits.org/inatrust.htm>

1.4 Membership, voting rights, and procedures for the meeting

At Clamage's request Clark Nelson explains membership and voting rights.

1.5 Agenda review and approval

Motion: to accept agenda in [N3469](#).
Moved by: Barry Hedquist
Seconded by: Nevin Liber

Unanimous consent.

1.6 Approval of the minutes of the previous meeting

Motion: to accept minutes from previous meeting with editorial changes ([N3454](#) and [N3455](#)).
Moved by: Barry Hedquist
Seconded by: Clark Nelson

Unanimous consent.

1.7 Editor's report, approval of draft

Stefanus Du Toit summarizes the editor's report from post-Portland ([N3486](#)). The only changes were those voted in at Portland along with a large number of editorial changes.

Motion: to accept [N3485](#) as the working draft for Bristol.
Moved by: Jonathan Caves
Seconded by: Stefanus Du Toit

Unanimous consent.

1.8 Liaison reports (including WG21 study groups)

WG14 Report:

Tom Plum directs everyone to the liaison report in the minutes from the pre-Bristol teleconference ([N3621](#)).

1.9 WG and SG progress reports and work plans for the week

Clamage relays comments from Sutter. Intent of this meeting is to produce a CD. SG chairs are asked to prioritize C++14 issues. The previous ballot for a document number for C++14 had errors and will be redone.

Nelson shows agenda for evening meetings. A starting time of 7:30 is agreed upon.

Clamage ask sub-group and study group chairs to give status report:

Core (CWG):

Mike Miller reports for CWG.

Library (LWG) and Library Evolution (LEWG):

Alisdair Meredith reports for LWG and LEWG.

Evolution (EWG):

Stroustrup reports for EWG.

Study group 1 – Concurrency (SG1):

Hans Boehm reports group will be busy all week.

Study group 2 – Modules (SG2):

Doug Gregor reports there are no new papers, but will be meeting for half a day later on in the week.

Study group 3 – File System (SG3):

Meredith reports on behalf of Beman Dawes.

Study group 4 – Networking (SG4):

Kloepper reports there are three papers and two late papers. Goals for this week are to come to consensus on IP address papers and bring byte order paper to vote on Friday.

Study group 5 – Transactional Memory (SG5):

Michael Wong reports that a TM group has been meeting twice a month since 2008. There are three papers to be considered at this meeting.

Study group 6 – Numerics (SG6):

Lawrence Crowl reports.

Study group 7 – Reflection (SG7):

Carruth reports there is not much to discuss and SG7 would like to have one evening meeting.

Study group 8 – Concepts (SG8):

Matt Austern reports that static if has been dropped. SG8 is not planning to meet independently, but as part of EWG.

Study group 9 – Ranges (SG9):

Marshall Clow reports.

Study group 10 – Feature Test (SG10):

Nelson reports that SG10 will have inaugural meeting. Background is to define macros to determine what new features are implemented and which are not. Not targeting anything for C++14.

1.10 New business requiring actions by the committee

There is no new business.

2 Organize Working Groups and Study Groups, establish working procedures

3 WG and SG sessions

4 WG and SG sessions continue

Tuesday 16 April, 8:30am-5:30pm

5 WG and SG sessions continue

Wednesday 17 April, 8:30am-5:30pm

6 WG and SG sessions continue

Thursday 18 April, 8:30am-5:30pm

7 WG and SG sessions continue

Friday 19 April, 8:30am–noon

8 General session

Friday 19 April, 1:30pm–5:30pm

8.1 WG and SG status and progress reports

Reports take place along with straw votes in 8.2.

8.2 Presentation and discussion of proposals. Straw votes taken.

Clamage presents Miller's suggestion that discussion is limited to five minutes per issue with a vote to be taken at the end, or discussion deferred to end of motions list. There is unanimous consent to this suggestion. Andy Sawyer volunteers to keep time.

Core motions

Miller presents CWG motions.

CWG motion 1 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 2 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 3 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 4 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 5 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 6 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 7 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 8 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 9 passes with unanimous consent.

```
straw poll: CWG motion 10
      yes  no  abstain
PL22.16  18   0    2
WG21     unanimous consent
```

CWG motion 10 passes.

CWG motion 11 passes with unanimous consent.

CWG motion 12 passes with unanimous consent.

```
straw poll: CWG motion 15
      yes  no  abstain
PL22.16  17   0    5
WG21     unanimous consent
```

CWG motion 15 passes.

```
straw poll: CWG motion 16
      yes  no  abstain
PL22.16  15   1    6
WG21     7    0    1
```

CWG motion 16 passes.

```
straw poll: CWG motion 17
```

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	unanimous	consent	
WG21	7	0	1

CWG motion 17 passes.

Library motions

Meredith gives working group report.

LWG motion 1 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 2 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 3 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 4 passes with unanimous consent.

straw poll: LWG motion 5

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	14	1	4
WG21	unanimous	consent	

LWG motion 5 passes.

LWG motion 6 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 7 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 8 passes with unanimous consent.

straw poll: LWG motion 9

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	13	1	8
WG21	unanimous	consent	

LWG motion 9 passes.

LWG motion 10 passes with unanimous consent.

straw poll: LWG motion 11

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	11	2	10
WG21	6	0	3

LWG motion 11 passes.

straw poll: LWG motion 12

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	7	6	8
WG21	6	2	0

LWG motion 12 passes.

straw poll: LWG motion 13

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	3	9	9
WG21	6	2	0

Stroustrup does not consider that consensus and motion 13 is removed.

straw poll: LWG motion 14

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	16	2	5
WG21	unanimous consent		

LWG motion 14 passes.

straw poll: LWG motion 15

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	1	15	8
WG21	1	2	5

Stroustrup says there is no consensus and LWG motion 15 fails.

straw poll: LWG motion 16

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	11	12	0
WG21	7	0	1

LWG motion 16 passes.

LWG motion 17 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 18 passes with unanimous consent.

LWG motion 19 (edited to motion 20) is not a motion. Nelson suggests taking a straw poll.

straw poll: Move we ask Beman as the filesystem TS editor to turn [N3545](#) into the formal working draft, with a view to filing a PDTS ballot at the next meeting.

unanimous consent.

Evolution motions:

Stroustrup presents.

straw poll: EWG motion 1

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	16	0	3
WG21	unanimous consent		

EWG motion 1 passes.

Library evolution motions:

Yasskin presents.

LEWG motion 1 passes with unanimous consent.

Concurrency motions:

Hans Boehm presents.

SG1 motion 1 passes with unanimous consent.

Boehm removes motion 2.

SG1 motion 3 passes with unanimous consent.

SG1 motion 4 passes with unanimous consent.

straw poll: SG1 motion 5

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	15	0	7
WG21	7	0	1

SG1 motion 5 passes.

straw poll: SG1 motion 6

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	14	2	6
WG21	5	1	2

Stroustrup declares consensus and SG1 motion 6 passes.

Study group reports:

Wong reports on SG5.

Crowl reports that SG6 work would best be handled by C and then incorporated by reference by C++.

SG7 Chandler reports did not have a chance to meet

SG8 was part of EWG and was reported on by Stroustrup.

Clow reports SG9.

Nelson reports on SG10.

Clamage asks for show of hands for who would like to participate in database study group. Seven committee members raise hands. Clamage will ask convener to create database study group.

Carruth requests break at 17:32. Clamage resumes meeting at 17:44.

Deferred motions:

straw poll: CWG motion 13

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	11	2	5
WG21	5	1	2

Stroustrup declares consensus and CWG motion 13 passes.

straw poll: CWG motion 14

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	5	13	1
WG21	6	1	1

Stroustrup declares consensus and CWG motion 14 passes.

straw poll: LWG motion 12

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	8	7	3
WG21	6	1	1

LWG motion 12 passes.

straw poll: Add [N3662](#) to formal motions page.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL22.16	9	2	6
WG21	6	0	2

9 WG and SG sessions continue

10 WG and SG sessions continue

Saturday 20 April, 8:30am-noon

11 Review of the meeting

11.1 WG21 motions

The room is polled and 21 voting members of PL22.16 and 8 WG21 national bodies are present.

Summary of motion results:

Core result

CWG 1	carried
CWG 2	carried
CWG 3	carried
CWG 4	carried
CWG 5	carried
CWG 6	carried
CWG 7	carried
CWG 8	carried
CWG 9	carried
CWG 10	carried
CWG 11	carried
CWG 12	carried
CWG 13	carried
CWG 14	lost
CWG 15	carried
CWG 16	carried
CWG 17	carried

Library

LWG 1	carried
LWG 2	carried
LWG 3	carried
LWG 4	carried
LWG 5	carried
LWG 6	carried
LWG 7	carried
LWG 8	carried
LWG 9	carried
LWG 10	carried
LWG 11	lost
LWG 12	carried
LWG 13	removed
LWG 14	carried
LWG 15	removed
LWG 16	carried

LWG 17 carried
 LWG 18 carried
 LWG 19 carried
~~LWG 20~~ removed

Evolution

EWG 1 carried

Library Evolution

LEWG 1 carried
~~LEWG 2~~ removed
~~LEWG 3~~ removed

Concurrency

SG1 1 carried
~~SG1 2~~ removed
 SG1 3 carried
 SG1 4 carried
 SG1 5 lost
 SG1 6 lost

The following motions are moved by the chair:

Core motions:

CWG motion 1: Move we accept as Defect Reports all issues in "ready" status from [N3539](#) except for [1464](#) and apply their proposed resolutions to the C++ working paper:

[129](#) [223](#) [240](#) [312](#) [496](#) [616](#) [1013](#) [1310](#) [1318](#) [1320](#) [1328](#) [1330](#) [1374](#) [1405](#)
[1411](#) [1412](#) [1413](#) [1425](#) [1435](#) [1437](#) [1442](#) [1456](#) [1472](#) [1475](#) [1476](#) [1479](#) [1481](#) [1489](#)
[1495](#) [1502](#) [1503](#) [1504](#) [1506](#) [1510](#) [1511](#) [1515](#) [1516](#) [1522](#) [1527](#) [1528](#) [1532](#) [1533](#)
[1535](#) [1537](#) [1538](#) [1539](#) [1541](#) [1543](#) [1544](#) [1550](#) [1553](#) [1556](#) [1557](#) [1559](#) [1560](#)

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 2: Move we apply the proposed resolution of issue [1464](#) from [N3539](#) to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 3: Move we accept as Defect Reports all issues in "tentatively ready" status from [N3539](#) except for [1484](#) and [1514](#) and apply their proposed resolutions to the C++ working paper:
[977](#) [1356](#) [1462](#) [1465](#) [1471](#) [1473](#) [1477](#) [1482](#) [1487](#) [1492](#) [1494](#) [1507](#) [1563](#) [1605](#)

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 4: Move we accept as Defect Reports the following issues from [N3539](#) and apply their proposed resolutions to the C++ working paper:
[903](#) [1213](#) [1358](#) [1531](#)

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 5: Move we accept as a Defect Report issue [974](#) from [N3539](#) and apply its proposed resolution to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 6: Move we apply [N3472](#), "Binary Literals in the C++ Core Language," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 7: Move we apply [N3624](#), "Core Issue 1512: Pointer comparison vs qualification conversions (revision 3)," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 8: Move we apply [N3639](#), "Runtime-sized arrays with automatic storage duration (revision 5)," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	16	0	5
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 9: Move we apply [N3638](#), "Return type deduction for normal functions," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 10: Move we apply [N3648](#), "Wording Changes for Generalized Lambda-capture," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	17	0	4
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 11: Move we apply [N3653](#), "Member initializers and aggregates," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 12: Move we apply [N3667](#), "Drafting for Core 1402," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 13: Move we apply [N3652](#), "Relaxing constraints on constexpr functions" and "constexpr member functions and implicit const," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	14	1	5
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 14: Move we apply [N3661](#), "Digit Separators," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	1	17	2
WG21	2	3	3

CWG motion 15: Move we apply [N3664](#), "Clarifying Memory Allocation," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 16: Move we apply [N3651](#), "Variable Templates (Revision 1)," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	14	1	5
WG21	8	0	0

CWG motion 17: Move we apply [N3649](#), "Generic (Polymorphic) Lambda Expressions (Revision 3) ," to the C++ working paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	7	0	1

Library motions:

LWG motion 1: Move we apply the resolutions of all issues in "Ready" and "Tentatively Ready" status from [N3438](#) to the C++ Working Paper:

[2091](#) Misplaced effect in `m.try_lock_for()`
[2092](#) Vague Wording for `condition_variable_any`
[2093](#) Throws clause of `condition_variable::wait` with predicate
[2145](#) `error_category` default constructor
[2147](#) Unclear hint type in Allocator's `allocate` function
[2163](#) `nth_element` requires inconsistent post-conditions
[2169](#) Missing `reset()` requirements in `unique_ptr` specialization
[2172](#) Does `atomic_compare_exchange_*` accept `v == nullptr` arguments?
[2080](#) Specify when `once_flag` becomes invalid
[2109](#) Incorrect requirements for hash specializations
[2144](#) Missing `noexcept` specification in `type_index`
[2174](#) `wstring_convert::converted()` should be `noexcept`
[2175](#) `string_convert` and `wbuffer_convert` validity
[2177](#) Requirements on Copy/MoveInsertable
[2187](#) `vector<bool>` is missing `emplace` and `emplace_back` member functions
[2197](#) Specification of `is_[un]signed` unclear for non-arithmetic types
[2200](#) Data race avoidance for all containers, not only for sequences
[2209](#) `assign()` overspecified for sequence containers
[2211](#) Replace ambiguous use of "Allocator" in container requirements
[2222](#) Inconsistency in description of `forward_list::splice_after` single element overload
[2225](#) Unrealistic header inclusion checks required
[2231](#) DR 704 removes complexity guarantee for `clear()`

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 2: Move we apply the resolutions of all issues in paper [N3673](#), moved from "Review" to "Ready" during this meeting.

[2094](#) duration conversion overflow shouldn't participate in overload resolution
[2122](#) `merge()` stability for lists versus forward lists
[2128](#) Absence of global functions `cbegin/cend`
[2148](#) Hashing enums should be supported directly by `std::hash`
[2149](#) Concerns about 20.8/5
[2162](#) `allocator_traits::max_size` missing `noexcept`
[2176](#) Special members for `wstring_convert` and `wbuffer_convert`
[2196](#) Specification of `is_*[copy/move]_[constructible/assignable]` unclear for non-referencable types

[2203](#) `scoped_allocator_adaptor` uses wrong argument types for piecewise construction

[2207](#) `basic_string::at` should not have a `Requires` clause

[2210](#) Missing allocator-extended constructor for allocator-aware containers

[2229](#) Standard code conversion facets underspecified

[2235](#) Undefined behavior without proper requirements on `basic_string` constructors

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 3: Move we apply [N3545](#), An Incremental Improvement to `integral_constant`, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 4: Move we apply [N3644](#), Null Forward Iterators, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 5: Move we apply [N3668](#), `std::exchange()`, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	12	1	6
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 6: Move we apply [N3658](#), Compile-time integer sequences, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0

WG21	8	0	0
------	---	---	---

LWG motion 7: Move we apply [N3670](#), Addressing Tuples by Type, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 8: Move we apply [N3671](#), Making non-modifying sequence operations more robust, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 9: Move we apply [N3656](#), make_unique, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	16	0	5
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 10: Move we apply [N3654](#), Quoted Strings, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 11: Move we apply [N3665](#), Uninterleaved String Output Streaming, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	3	11	7

WG21	3	2	3
------	---	---	---

LWG motion 12: Move we apply [N3642](#), User-defined Literals, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	8	5	8
WG21	5	1	1

~~LWG motion 13: Move we apply [N3660](#), User-defined complex Literals, to the C++ Working Paper.~~

[motion removed]

LWG motion 14: Move we apply [N3655](#) (excluding part 4), Transformation Traits Redux, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	14	1	5
WG21	8	0	0

~~LWG motion 15: Move we apply [N3645](#), Splicing Maps and Sets, to the C++ Working Paper.~~

[motion removed]

LWG motion 16: Move we apply [N3657](#), Adding heterogeneous comparison lookup to associative containers, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 17: Move we apply [N3672](#), A proposal to add a utility class to represent optional objects, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 18: Move we apply [N3669](#), Fixing constexpr member functions without const, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

LWG motion 19: Move we apply [N3662](#), C++ Dynamic Arrays (dynarray), to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	14	0	7
WG21	6	1	1

~~LWG motion 20:~~ Move we ask Beman as the filesystem TS editor to turn [N3545](#) into the formal working draft, with a view to filing a PDTs ballot at the next meeting.

[removed as this does not require a motion]

Evolution motions:

EWG motion 1: Move to direct the Convener to request a New Work Item for a Technical Specification on Concepts Lite.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

Library evolution motions:

LEWG motion 1: Move to direct the Convener to request a New Work Item for a Technical Specification on Networking.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

~~LWG motion 2:~~ Move to direct the Convener to request a New Work Item for a Technical Specification on Concurrency and Parallelism.

[motion removed]

~~LWG motion 3:~~ Move to direct the Convener to request a New Work Item for a Technical Specification on Library Extensions 2.

[motion removed]

Concurrency motions:

SG1 motion 1: Move we apply the resolutions of the following issues in "Review" status from [N3522](#) to the C++ Working Paper:

[LWG2098](#) (promise throws clauses)

[LWG2130](#) (missing ordering constraints for fences)

[LWG2138](#) (atomic_flag::clear ordering constraints)

[LWG2140](#) (notify_all_at_thread_exit synchronization)

[LWG2190](#) (ordering of condition variable operations, reflects Posix discussion)

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

~~SG1 motion 2:~~ Move we apply the resolution of issue [LWG2100](#) (adjust async wording for timeouts) in "Review" status from [N3522](#) to the C++ Working Paper, after deleting "non-timed" from the resolution.

[motion removed]

SG1 motion 3: Move we apply the resolution of issue [LWG2185](#) (missing throws clause for future timed wait) in "Review" status from [N3522](#) to the C++ Working Paper. If N3637 (Motion 5) is incorporated into the working paper, make the same changes to waiting_future.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

SG1 motion 4: Move that we apply [N3659](#), Shared Locking in C++, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0
WG21	8	0	0

SG1 motion 5: Move we apply [N3637](#), async and ~future, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	8	3	10
WG21	4	2	2

SG1 motion 6: Move we apply [N3636](#), ~thread Should Join, to the C++ Working Paper.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	11	2	8
WG21	5	2	1

Other motions:

Other motion 1: Move to appoint an editing committee composed of Richard Smith, Mike Miller, Daniel Krugler, and Alisdair Meredith to approve the correctness of the working draft as modified by the motions approved at the Bristol meeting, and to direct the Convener to forward the approved updated working draft to SC22 for CD ballot.

	<u>yes</u>	<u>no</u>	<u>abstain</u>
PL 22.16	21	0	0

WG21 8 0 0

11.2 PL22.16 motions

11.3 Review of action items, decisions made, and documents adopted by the committee

11.4 Issues delayed until today

Clamage asks if there are any issues delayed until today. No response.

12 Plans for the future

12.1 Next and following meetings

Nevin Liber talks about upcoming Chicago meeting.

Clamage takes a poll for who would be interested in attending an in person meeting between Chicago and Rapperswil. 19 say they would be.

Nelson moves to thank the host Roger Orr. Applause ensues.

Clow relays thanks for the live radio feed from the meetings.

Carruth thanks everyone who took notes this week.

12.2 Mailings

Nelson says the post-Bristol mailing deadline is 3 May and pre-Chicago deadline is 30 August. Nelson also directs everyone to look at SD-1 in the mailing as it is useful.

13 Adjournment

Motion: to adjourn
Moved by: Clark Nelson
Seconded by: Chandler Carruth

Unanimous consent.

Adjourned Saturday 20 April at 2:47 p.m. BST.

14 Attendance

All listed organizations have active voting status. Principal representatives are designated with *.

Organization	Representative	M	T	W	R	F	S
Apple	Doug Gregor	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bloomberg	John Lakos*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bloomberg	Alisdair Meredith	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bloomberg	Dietmar Kühl	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cisco Systems	Martin Sebor*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Cisco Systems	Ismail Pazarbasi	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Dinkumware	P.J. Plauger*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Dinkumware	Tana Plauger	✓	✓			✓	
Dinkumware	Margaret Trimble	✓					
DRW Holdings	Nevin Liber*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Edison Design Group	Daveed Vandevoorde	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Edison Design Group	Jens Maurer	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Edison Design Group	John H. Spicer	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Edison Design Group	William M. Miller	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Embarcadero Technologies	Dawn Perchik*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Gimpel Software	James Widman	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Google	Lawrence Crowl*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Google	James Dennett	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Google	JC van Winkel	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Google	Matthew Austern	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Google	Chandler Carruth	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Google	Jeffery Yasskin	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Google	Richard Smith	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hewlett-Packard Development	Hans Boehm	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IBM	Michael Wong*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IBM	Maged Michael		✓	✓	✓		
Intel	Clark Nelson*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Intel	Pablo Halpern	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Intel	Robert Geva	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Intel	Stefanus Du Toit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Microsoft	Jonathan Caves*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Microsoft	Herb Sutter	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Microsoft	Niklas Gustafsson	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Microsoft	Stephan Lavavej	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NVidia	Olivier Giroux	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NVidia	Jaydeep Marathe	✓					
NVidia	Jared Hoberock	✓	✓	✓			
NVidia	Michael Garland	✓	✓	✓			
Oracle	Paolo Carlini*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Oracle	Stephen D. Clamage	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Oracle	Darryl Gove	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Oracle	Victor Luchangco	✓	✓	✓			
Perennial	Barry Hedquist*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Plum Hall	Thomas Plum*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Programming Research Group	Richard Corden*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Programming Research Group	Christof Meerwald	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Qualcomm	Marshall Clow*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Red Hat	Jason Merrill*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Red Hat	Benjamin Kosnik	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Red Hat	Torvald Riegel	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Red Hat	Matt Newsome	✓				✓	
Riverbed	Kyle Kloepper*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Riverbed	Neal Meyer	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Seymour	Bill Seymour*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Symantec	Mike Spertus*	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Symantec	Dinka Ranns	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Texas A&M University	Bjarne Stroustrup*	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Texas A&M University	Andrew Sutton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	