

## Clause 24 (Iterators) Issues List (Rev. 4)

David Dodgson  
dsd@tr.unisys.com  
UNISYS

The following list contains the issues for Clause 24 on Iterators. The list is divided based upon the status of the issues. The status is either *active* - under discussion, *resolved* - resolution accepted but not yet in the working paper, *closed* - working paper updated, or *withdrawn* - issue withdrawn or rejected. They are numbered chronologically as entered in the list. Only the active and resolved issues are presented here. Those wishing a complete list may request one.

The proposed resolutions are my understanding of the consensus on the reflector.

### 1. Revision History

Revision 0 -	5/26/95	pre-Monterey	N0702/95-0102
Revision 1 -	9/25/95	pre-Tokyo	N0773/95-0173
Revision 2 -	11/30/95	pre-Santa Cruz	N0832/96-0014
Revision 3 -	5/23/96	pre-Stockholm	N0915/96-0097
Revision 4 -	9/22/96	pre-Hawaii	N0988/96-0170

### 2. Active Issues

Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-021

Title: Separate Header for Stream Iterators

Section: 24.4

Status: active

Description:

From public review:

Drawing iostream into an implementation that just needs iterators is most unfortunate.

The current iterator header includes headers `<ios>` and `<streambuf>`

to handle the stream iterators in 24.4. This requires all of I/O to be included in the iterators header. Yet I/O only needs this if the iterators are used.

If a new header is used should it be in clause 24 or in clause 27?

Is `<iositer>` a good name for the new header?

Should the stream iterators be incorporated into current I/O headers?

From Nathan Myers:

Message c++std-lib-4174

There are natural places for each of these iterator templates.

Move `istream_iterator<>` to `<istream>`.

Move `ostream_iterator<>` to `<ostream>`.

Move `istreambuf_iterator<>` and `ostreambuf_iterator<>` to `<streambuf>`.

Add forward declarations of all four to `<iosfwd>`.

Proposed Resolution:

Move the stream iterators into the I/O headers.

Remove `#include's` for `iosfwd`, `ios`, and `streambuf` from 24.1.6 [lib.iterator.tags] Header `<iterator>` synopsis and tags for subclause 24.4.

Move `istream_iterator` to `<istream>`, `ostream_iterator` to `<ostream>`, and the `streambuf_iterator` to `<streambuf>`. Add forward declarations of all four to `<iosfwd>`. Add `#include <iterator>` in these headers.

Requestor: Public Review & Library WG  
Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)  
Emails: lib-4174,4186,4191,4199,4202  
Papers:

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-038

Title: Removal of proxy class

Section: 24.4.3 [lib.istreambuf.iterator]

Status: active

Description:

24.4.3:

The changes to input iterator semantics make the proxy class an implementation detail. It should not be required as part of the standard.

From P.J. Plauger in N0795:

24.4.3:

`istreambuf_iterator` should remove all references to proxy, whether or not Koenig's proposal passes to make more uniform the definition of all input iterators. It is over specification.

24.4.3.1:

`istreambuf_iterator::proxy` is not needed (once `istreambuf_iterator` is corrected as described below). It should be removed.

24.4.3.2:

`istreambuf_iterator(const proxy&)` should be removed.

24.4.3.4:

`istreambuf_iterator::operator++(int)` Effects should say that it saves a copy of `*this`, then calls `operator++()`, then returns the stored copy. Its return value should be `istreambuf_iterator`, not proxy.

Editorial box 69 suggests that proxy be replaced by an opaque unnamed type.

See also issue 42 regarding the return type of `operator++(int)`.

Proposed Resolution:

Input iterators do not require a specific class to be returned from `operator++(int)`. (Nor do output iterators - see issue 42). The requirements are such that `*i++` must work. The actual type returned should be any that satisfy the requirements. This suggests that the implementor be given some latitude in the definition. All other instances of `operator++(int)` in Clause 24 return a value of the iterator type. The proposal is to have `istreambuf_iterator::operator++(int)` return a type of `istreambuf_iterator`. Additionally, wording similar to the following should be included in 24.1 (possibly in 24.1.6):

For the purposes of exposition, the return type of `operator++(int)` in the header `<iterator>` is specified as a value of that iterator. However, a different type may be used for input and output iterators providing the iterator meets the requirements specified for its category.

An additional editorial consideration may be to put the return types in boldface italic font as are other items for exposition.

Requestor: David Dodgson

Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)

Emails:

Papers: N0795, Updated Issues List for Library, pre-Tokyo

N0833, Proposed Iterators Changes, pre-Santa Cruz

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24  
Issue Number: 24-042  
Title: Return type for operator++(int)  
Section: 24.3.2 24.4.2 24.4.4  
Status: active  
Description:  
24.:

From Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com):

```
operator++(int) for:  
back_insert_iterator  
front_insert_iterator  
insert_iterator  
ostream_iterator  
[Note: ostreambuf_iterator is also affected]  
are all currently specified in the standard as:  
insert_iterator<Container> operator++(int);  
I was wondering why the HP implementation has them as:  
insert_iterator<Container>& operator++(int);
```

The reason is that if the user tries something like:

```
*i++ = 0;  
where i is an insert_iterator, an insert_iterator<Container>  
copy ctor would automatically be called under the
```

current specification. I don't think you want this to happen, especially in the HP implementation where the private data members are of type Container& and Container::iterator.

So my proposal is to return by reference in each of the postfix ++ operators.  
See also issue 32 regarding the return type of insert\_iterator::operator++(int).

Discussion:  
In general, the result of operator++(int) is a temporary which is needed only for the duration of the expression. The iterators described in Clause 24 are described uniformly in this regard. However, the iterators specified in this issue are all output iterators. For them there is no need to return a temporary (usually (\*this) is returned). The standard could be changed to return a reference for these items.

The specifications for output iterators (and input iterators) do not require the return result for operator++(int) to be of the same class. The specifications are therefore somewhat open-ended. However, some return value must be specified in the iterators described in this section. One possibility is to change the return types to references, another is to leave them as they are but provide additional discussion in the introduction stating that any return type which meets the specifications is conforming. It may be argued that a reference return type meets an 'as-is' requirement for the iterators.

Resolution:  
Requestor: Judy Ward  
Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)  
Emails:  
Papers:

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-043

Title: Distance Type in istreambuf\_iterator

Section: 24.5.3 [lib.istreambuf.iterator]

Status: active

Description:

24.5.3 24-22:

During discussions prior to and during the Santa Cruz meeting it was suggested that input iterators do not need a Distance type. At the same time it was suggested that istreambuf\_iterator, as an input iterator, did not need a Distance type. The working group decided against removing Distance from input iterators in general, but removing it from istreambuf\_iterator was accepted as part of N0845.

Should istreambuf\_iterator have a Distance type?

Proposed Resolution:

It would be more consistent for istreambuf\_iterator to conform to have a Distance type as other input iterators.

Requestor: David Dodgson

Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)

Emails:

Papers: N0845, Make Library Member Typedefs Consistent, pre-Santa Cruz

Description:

24.4.1 [lib.reverse.iterators]:

Previous changes to iterators allow reverse\_bidirectional\_iterators to be combined with reverse\_iterators. The bidirectional case could be eliminated as a separate class, only reverse\_iterators would be needed.

An additional change could be made to the iterator\_traits and iterator templates. This change would include the Reference and Pointer types in the traits. Reference is the type returned for a reference for the value\_type, Pointer for a pointer to the value\_type. Currently these are parameters for the reverse\_iterators only. Adding them would make them available for all iterators. It would require uses of the iterator template to possibly specify 5 parameters instead of 3 (default arguments would allow fewer arguments to be specified in many cases). It would also allow only the base iterator to be needed as an argument to the reverse\_iterator template.

Resolution:

Requestor: Matt Austern, Angelika Langer, Alex Stepanov

Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)

Emails:

Papers: 96-0092/N0910, "Simplification of reverse iterator adaptors", pre-Stockholm

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-045

Title: Descriptions of stream iterators

Section: 24.5.1 and 24.5.2

Status: active

Description:

24.5.1 and 24.5.2  
[lib.istream.iterator] and [lib ostream.iterator]

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-044

Title: Simplification of reverse iterator adaptors

Section: 24.2 24.4.1

Status: active

All other iterators in this section have a description of the semantics of each individual member function. The `istream_` and `ostream_` iterators do not. There is simply a listing of the headers with no following descriptions.

Proposed Resolution:

Add the following protected members in 24.5.1

```
protected:
    basic_istream<charT,traits>* in_stream;
    T value;
```

Add the following descriptions:

#### 24.5.1.1 `istream_iterator` constructors and destructor

```
istream_iterator();
```

**Effects:** Constructs the end-of-stream iterator.

```
istream_iterator(istream_type& s);
```

**Effects:** Initializes `in_stream` with `s`. `value` may be initialized during construction or the first time it is referenced.

```
istream_iterator(
    const istream_iterator<T,Distance>& x);
```

**Effects:** Constructs a copy of `x`.

```
~istream_iterator();
```

**Effects:** The iterator is destroyed.

#### 24.5.1.2 `istream_iterator` operations

```
const T& operator*() const;
```

**Returns:** `value`

```
const T* operator->() const;
```

**Returns:** `&(operator*())`

```
istream_iterator<T,Distance>& operator++();
```

**Effects:** `*in_stream >> value`

**Returns:** `*this`

```
istream_iterator<T,Distance> operator++(int);
```

**Effects:**

```
    istream_iterator<T,Distance> tmp = *this;
    *in_stream >> value;
    return (tmp);
```

```
template <class T, class Distance>
bool operator==(
```

```
    const istream_iterator<T,Distance>& x,
    const istream_iterator<T,Distance>& y);
```

**Returns:** `(x.in_stream == y.in_stream)`

Add the following protected members to 24.5.2

protected:

```
    basic_ostream<charT, traits> out_stream;
    const char* delim;
```

Add the following descriptions:

#### 24.5.2.1 `ostream_iterator` constructors and destructor

```
ostream_iterator(ostream_type& s);
```

**Effects:** Initializes out\_stream with s and delim with null.

```
ostream_iterator(ostream_type& s,
                const charT* delimiter);
```

**Effects:** Initializes out\_stream with s and delim with delimiter.

```
ostream_iterator(const ostream_iterator<T>& x);
```

**Effects:** Constructs a copy of x.

```
~ostream_iterator();
```

**Effects:** The iterator is destroyed.

### 24.5.2.2 ostream\_iterator operations

```
ostream_iterator<T>& operator=(const T& value);
```

**Effects:**

```
*out_stream << value;
if (delim != 0) *out_stream << *delim;
return (*this);
```

```
ostream_iterator<T>& operator*();
```

**Returns:** \*this

```
ostream_iterator<T>& operator++();
ostream_iterator<T> operator++(int);
```

**Returns:** \*this

Requestor: David Dodgson  
Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)  
Emails:

Papers:

### 3. Resolved Issues

Work Group: Library Clause 24  
Issue Number: 24-032  
Title: Insert Iterator Issues  
Status: resolved  
Description:  
24.3.2 p24-18 [lib.insert.iterator]:

24.3.2.3:

Template class front\_insert\_iterator should not have a Returns clause.

24.3.2.5:

insert\_iterator::operator++(int) returns a reference to \*this, unlike in other classes. Otherwise, the update of iter by operator= gets lost.

24.3.2.6.5:

Declaration for template function inserter is missing second template argument, class Iterator. It is also missing second function argument, of type Iterator.

Resolution:

Requestor: Bill Plauger  
Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)  
Emails:

Papers: N0833R1 - Proposed Iterators Changes, post Santa Cruz

Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-033

Title: Iterator Category Definition

Section: 24.1.6 [lib.iterator.tags]

Status: resolved

Description:  
24.1.6:

Iterator tags could be related by inheritance. Doing so would allow a more generic solution to algorithms which are multiply defined based on iterator category. For example, it might be possible to define to versions of an algorithm, one based on `output_iterator` and one based on `forward_iterator`. Iterator categories which inherit from `forward_iterator` could use the second algorithm. If the categories are inherited, then the based classes should use inheritance.

It may also be desirable to provide a mechanism to indicate whether an iterator is constant or mutable. Different algorithms on iterators could be used if this information was available.

Resolution: Inheritance in iterator tags accepted in N833R1  
accepted in Santa Cruz.

input -> forward -> bidirectional -> random

Requestor: Angelika Langer

Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)

Emails: lib-4305,4308,4312,4315

Papers: N0833, Proposed Iterators Changes, pre-Santa Cruz

-----  
Work Group: Library Clause 24

Issue Number: 24-037

Title: Iterator Traits

Section: 24.

Status: resolved

Description:  
24.:

Define the types governing iterators in an `iterator_traits` class.

```
template <class Iterator> struct iterator_traits {  
    typedef Iterator::distance_type distance_type;  
    typedef Iterator::value_type value_type;  
    typedef Iterator::iterator_category iterator_category; }  
}
```

The types for any iterator could then be referenced as:  
`iterator_traits<Iter>::distance_type ...;`

Partial specialization would be used for pointer types:

```
template <class T> struct iterator_traits<T*> {  
    typedef ptrdiff_t distance_type;  
    typedef T value_type;  
    typedef random_access_iterator_tag iterator_category; }  
}
```

Additionally, the current base classes for iterators would be replaced by:

```
template <class Category, class T, class Distance=ptrdiff_t >  
struct iterator {  
    typedef Distance distance_type;  
    typedef T value_type;  
    typedef Category iterator_category; }  
which would be used as:  
class MyIter:public iterator<bidirectional_iterator_tag,  
    double, long> { ... }
```

Resolution: Accepted in Santa Cruz

Requestor: Bjarne Stroustrup, Alex Stepanov, Matt Austern

Owner: David Dodgson (Iterators)

Emails:

Papers: N847, Bring Back the Obvious Definition of Count, pre-Santa Cruz